(A)

(1)

CF-300 Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

W. P. (S) No. 594 / 2007

PETITIONERS : 1. Prabhu Lal Nagesh, S/o. Shri Faguwa Ram, aged about 34 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School – Garhdongari (MA), Block-Nagri, Distt. - Dhamtari (C.G.)

2. Yeshwant Singh Shrimali, S/o. Shri Nathu Ram Shrimali, aged about 39 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School- Pandarwahi, Block-Nagri, Distt. – Dhamtari (C.G.)

3. Smt. Archna Sahu, W/o. Shri Mahendra Kumar Sahu, aged about 41 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School – Kumhada, Block-Nagri, Distt. – Dhamtari (C.G.)

P.R. No. 588/07 Presented by Shri A.S. Rajput Adv. 12.1.07

RECEIVED-COPY 17/1/07 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgrh, Through – The Secretary Department of Tribal Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

2. Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Chhattisgarh Govt. Raipur

3. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Distt. – Dhamtari (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG-2073/56 14/01/07 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

(5)

(2)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE WRIT, WRITS, DIRECTION ETC. TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

(41)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (s) No. 594 of 2007

Petitioners : Prabhu Lal Nagesh and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Mr. Anil Singh Rajput, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 31st day of January, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case are similar to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5191 of 2006 (Hari Prasad Kurre and others Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others), which was disposed of vide order dated 3rd of October, 2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, this writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 3rd of October, 2006 passed by this Court in W. P. (S) No. 5191 of 2006. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu